

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,425	01/15/2002	Gregory R. Mundy	432722002601	7663
25225	7590 05/14/2003			
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 3811 VALLEY CENTRE DRIVE SUITE 500			EXAMINER GITOMER, RALPH J	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
SAN DIEGO,	, CA 92130-2332.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1651	$\overline{}$
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. 10/050,425

Applicant(s)

....

Mundy et al.

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Ralph Gitomer

Art Unit **1627** 



	oʻ		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corresp	oondence address
	or Reply		
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 21 MONTH	(S) FROM
	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic		nay a reply be timely filed
- If the	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely.	, a reply within the statutory minimum	of thirty (30) days will
- If NO	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory	period will apply and will expire SIX (6)	MONTHS from the mailing date of this
- Failur - Any i	mmunication.  e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 15, 2</u>	002	•
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 🗓 This act	ion is non-final.	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Disposi	tion of Claims		
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>	is/are	pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are	withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	i	s/are allowed.
6)□	Claim(s)	i	s/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	i	s/are objected to.
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-6</u>	are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers		
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved 1	b) $\square$ disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	ner.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d).
a) [	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.	
	2. U Certified copies of the priority documents have	. ,	
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th</li> </ol>	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National Stage
14) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		e).
Attachm	- -		
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N	No(s).
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (I	
17) 🔲 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:	

Serial No. 10/050,425 Art Unit 1627

5

10

15

20

25

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-3, drawn to method of stimulating hair growth, classified in class 435, subclass 23.
- II. Claims 4-5, drawn to a composition, classified in class 435, subclass 23.
- III. Claim 6, drawn to a method of screening for a compound, classified in class 435, subclass 23.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the composition could be used for other functions.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the methods have different functions.

7

5

10

15

Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the method could find compounds other than those of Group I.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

20

It is noted the claims presented here are identical to those initially presented in application 09/361,775.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ralph Gitomer whose telephone number is (703) 308-0732. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8:00 am - 5:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jyothsna Venkat who can be reached on (703) 308-2439. The fax phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 308-4556. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

15

20

}

5

ĺ

10

Ralph Gitomer Primary Examiner Group 1627

11 Certoma

PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200